

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/423,523	07/05/2000	KIYOTAKA ISHINO	ATOCM163	6838
23599	7590 03/08/2004	EXAMINER		INER
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.			SERGENT, RABON A	
2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/423,523	ISHINO ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rabon Sergent	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 19 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averal final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office of the contraction of the con	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF)</li> </ul>	Brief must be filed within the pe					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		The appear.				
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by		,,				
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	•	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) _ they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		idered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becarised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>4-9 and 12-15</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)  disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•				
10. Other:						
		Rabon Sergent Primary Examiner				
		Art Unit: 1711				

Continuation of 3.: The rejection of claims 4-9 and 12-15 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph set forth within paragraph 1 of the final Office action.

Continuation of 5.: The prior art rejection has been maintained for the reasons set forth within the final Office action. Additionally, applicants' arguments have been addressed within the final Office action.